



Corporate Services and Partnerships Policy Overview Committee

Date:

THURSDAY, 11 DECEMBER

2014

Time:

7.30 PM

Venue:

COMMITTEE ROOM 4 -CIVIC CENTRE, HIGH STREET, UXBRIDGE UB8

1UW

Meeting Details:

Members of the Public and Press are welcome to attend

this meeting

Councillors on the Committee

Richard Lewis (Chairman)
Richard Mills (Vice-Chairman)
Robin Sansarpuri (Labour Lead)

Wayne Bridges
Tony Burles
Nick Denys
Narinder Garg

Raymond Graham

Carol Melvin

This agenda and associated reports can be made available in other languages, in Braille, large print or on audio tape on request. Please contact us for further information.

Published: 3 December 2014

Contact: Khalid Ahmed

Tel: 01895 250833 Fax: 01895 277373

Email: kahmed@hillingdon.gov.uk

This Agenda is available online at:

http://modgov.hillingdon.gov.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=243&Mld=2117&Ver=4

Putting our residents first

Lloyd White
Head of Democratic Services
London Borough of Hillingdon,
3E/05, Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge, UB8 1UW
www.hillingdon.gov.uk

Useful information

Bus routes 427, U1, U3, U4 and U7 all stop at the Civic Centre. Uxbridge underground station, with the Piccadilly and Metropolitan lines, is a short walk away. Limited parking is available at the Civic Centre. For details on availability and how to book a parking space, please contact Democratic Services

Please enter from the Council's main reception where you will be directed to the Committee Room. An Induction Loop System is available for use in the various meeting rooms. Please contact us for further information.

Please switch off any mobile telephones and BlackBerries[™] before the meeting. Any recording of the meeting is not allowed, either using electronic, mobile or visual devices.

If there is a FIRE in the building the alarm will sound continuously. If there is a BOMB ALERT the alarm sounds intermittently. Please make your way to the nearest FIRE EXIT.



About this Committee

This Policy Overview Committee (POC) will undertake reviews in the areas covered by the Administration and Finance Directorates and can establish a working party (with another POC if desired) to undertake reviews if, for example, a topic is cross-cutting.

This Policy Overview Committee will consider and comment on budget and service plan proposals for the Administration and Finance Directorates.

The Cabinet Forward Plan is a standing item on the Committee's agenda.

The Committee will not consider call-ins of Executive decisions or investigate individual complaints about the Council's services.

To perform the policy overview role outlined above in relation to the following matters:

- 1. Democratic Services
- 2. Localism
- 3. Central Services, incl. Human Resources, ICT, Communications & Legal Services
- 4. Capital programme, property, construction & facilities management
- 5. Financial Planning & Financial Services
- 6. Enforcement and anti-fraud activities
- 7. Procurement
- 8. Performance Improvement
- 9. Economic development & town centres and regeneration
- 10. Local commerce, employment, skills and job creation
- 11. Local Strategic Partnership and Sustainable Community Strategy;
- 12. Community engagement, partnerships and the voluntary sector
- 13. Equalities and Community Cohesion
- 14. Community Safety
- 15. Public Safety & Civil Protection
- 16. Energy use and carbon reduction
- 17. Health & Safety
- 18. Any functions not included within the remit of the other Policy Overview Committees
- 19. Cross-cutting reviews that cover the remit of other Committees

Agenda

CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

- 1 Apologies for Absence
- 2 Declarations of Interests
- 3 Minutes of Meeting held on 29 October 2014 (Page 1-6)
- 4 Exclusion of Press and Public
 - To confirm the items of business marked Part I will be considered in public and that items marked Part II will be considered in private.
- Major Review Council's Corporate Complaints Procedure Consideration of Recommendations (Pages 7-18)
- 6 Second Major Review Corporate Fraud Social Housing (Pages 19-20)
- 7 Forward Plan (Pages 21-28)
- Work Programme (Pages 29-31)

Minutes

Corporate Services and Partnerships Policy Overview Committee Wednesday 29 October 2014 Meeting held at Committee Room 4 - Civic Centre, High Street, Uxbridge UB8 1UW



	Members Present: Councillors Richard Lewis (Chairman), Richard Mills (Vice-Chairman), Lynne Allen, Wayne Bridges, Tony Burles, Nick Denys, Carol Melvin, Jane Palmer and Robin Sansarpuri.		
	Apologies: Councillors Narinder Garg (Councillor Lynne Allen substituting) and Ray Graham (Councillor Jane Palmer substituting).		
	Officers: Ian Anderson (Complaints and Service Improvement Manager - Administration), Michelle Gleeson (Customer Liaison Manager - Residents Services), Dan Kennedy (Head of Performance and Improvement) and Khalid Ahmed (Democratic Services Manager).		
	Witness: Richard Shaw (Investigator from Local Government Ombudsman Office).		
20.	MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON 16 SEPTEMBER 2014		
	Agreed as an accurate record.		
21.	EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC		
	It was agreed that all items of business would be considered in public.		
22.	MAJOR REVIEW - THE COUNCIL'S CORPORATE Action By: COMPLAINTS PROCEDURE		
	For this meeting, the Committee was provided with evidence from Richard Shaw, an Investigator for the Local Government Ombudsman Office.		
	The Committee was provided with a summary of the key components of a good complaints procedure:-		
	To enable residents to make officers and the Council accountable		
	Where complaints have been justified, to enable Councils to address poor working practice and to improve services		
	To have a clear and transparent process which enables a quick resolution to residents' complaints		

Action By:

- Dealing quickly with a complaint for the benefit of a complainant
- The less stages of a complaints process would eliminate repetitiveness and bring about a quicker resolution
- Service requests and appeals should be dealt with separately and outside the complaints process
- The focus should be on resolving complaints at the earliest opportunity
- There should be consideration given to the costs of dealing with complaints
- The key focus should be on customer care and customer satisfaction for residents
- A complaints process needs to be understood and publicised and accessible for residents
- There should be a common procedure to cover contractors
- Managers should be made responsible for dealing with complaints and should be empowered to proactively resolve complaints
- Managers should be given discretionary powers to remedy failures and to make apologies to complainants where necessary
- Officers should be given discretionary powers to offer compensation to remedy a failure
- Failures which have been highlighted by a complaint provided an opportunity to make improvements to services
- Regarding Council policy caveats should be contained in complaints procedures which clearly stated that a complaint about Council policy which had been correctly applied should not be taken through the complaints procedure. Complaints could be advised to submit their complaint direct to the Local Government Ombudsman
- Directors should have sight and knowledge of complaints

Discussion took place on aspects of the evidence provided and reference was made to the various complaints which the Council received in relation to the Housing Allocation Policy and Housing Benefit and Council Tax decisions. Members were informed that complaints should still be considered regarding the application of policies, but not the actual policy itself. The importance was stressed of officers ensuring they correctly applied policies.

Action By:

Members were informed that these complaints did escalate through the present complaints procedure, but if changes were made to the complaints procedure, these types of complaints could be resolved at Stage 1 or be referred direct to the Ombudsman.

The LGO Investigator confirmed that complaints had to have gone through at least one stage of a local authority complaints procedure before being considered by the LGO.

The communication of Council policies was important and these should be clearly pointed out to residents. Relevant policies and the rules relating to Housing Benefit and Council Tax should be explained to complainants to ensure they understand the reasoning behind the decisions taken which have resulted in the complaint.

As previously mentioned at an earlier witness session, the Committee was informed that extra training would be provided for officers to enable a greater focus on resolution of complaints. A move to a two stage complaints procedure, with a longer timeline for Stage 1, would enable intervention work to take place and give officers an opportunity to try to resolve the complaint to the satisfaction of the resident.

Members were informed that there were cost implications for dealing with complaints in terms of officer time in dealing with complaints through the 3 stage process. An early intervention and attempts to resolve complaints would be beneficial for the Council and for the complainant.

Reference was made to a number of local authorities which had moved to two stage complaint procedure which consisted of flexible first stages whereby contact was made with the complainant with the focus on resolving the issue which had been raised.

The Committee asked that officers provide a paper at the next meeting setting out all the evidence presented during the review thus far, together with details of the potential implications of moving to a two stage complaints procedure.

RESOLVED -

1. That the presentations and the information provided be noted and officers be asked to report back to the next meeting of the Committee providing details as outlined above.

Dan Kennedy / lan Anderson / Khalid Ahmed

23. MEMBERS ENQUIRIES

Action By:

The Committee was provided with a report which provided details on the Members Enquiries process, together with statistics of Members Enquiries received across the Council's service areas for 2012/2013 and 2013/2014.

The Customer Liaison Manager for Residents Services attended the meeting and gave an overview of the process. A Member Enquiry (ME) was a question which a Councillor wanted answered on behalf of residents or other local organisations.

The Members Liaison Officers within both Residents Services and Administration and Finance, dealt with such queries and provided an efficient link for this, ensuring all Members' Enquiries were logged, processed and progressed with Lead Officers to guarantee a response within a dedicated timescale of 10 working days.

Members agreed that the service provided was a very useful resource and enabled Members to provide guidance and advice to their constituents.

The success of the service was highlighted by the increased usage over the last two years:-

Service Areas	2012/13	2013/14
Housing Service	862	1,134
Housing Benefit	79	105
Council Tax	55	88
Education Services	44	39
Residents Services	4,591	5,444
Total	5,631	6,810

Discussion took place on the process and Members expressed their appreciation at the efforts of the Member Liaison Officers. Officers were asked that, where possible, Members Enquiries responses sent to Members, be also copied to the resident concerned.

RESOLVED -

	That the report and the presentation given be noted and officers be thanked for the excellent service given to Members on behalf of residents.	
24.	WORK PROGRAMME 2014/15	
	Noted. Members asked that the scheduled next meeting of the Committee be re-arranged.	
25.	CABINET FORWARD PLAN	
	Noted.	
	Meeting commenced at 6.30pm and closed at 8.30pm Next meeting: 11 December 2014 at 7.30pm	

These are the minutes of the above meeting. For more information on any of the resolutions please contact Khalid Ahmed on 01895 250833. These minutes are circulated to Councillors, Officers, the Press and Members of the Public.

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 5

MAJOR REVIEW - COUNCIL'S CORPORATE COMPLAINTS PROCEDURE - CONSIDERATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Contact Officers: Khalid Ahmed Telephone: 01895 250833

REASON FOR ITEM

To discuss the evidence which has been received during the review and to give consideration to the options which are available to the Committee, in terms of possible recommendations.

OPTIONS OPEN TO THE COMMITTEE

1. To consider the evidence which the Committee has received during the review and to suggest an option for officers to develop to enable recommendations to be put forward to Cabinet for consideration.

BACKGROUND

- 1. On 16 July, 2014 the Committee began a review into the Council's Corporate Complaints procedure. Witness sessions took place on 16 July, 16 September and 29 October 2014 when the Committee received evidence from the following witnesses:
 - Dan Kennedy Head of Performance and Improvement
 - Ian Anderson Complaints and Service Improvement Manager (Administration)
 - Nigel Dicker Deputy Director Public Safety & Environment (Residents Services)
 - Richard Shaw Investigator for Local Government Ombudsman Office
 - Michelle Gleeson Customer Liaison Manager (Residents Services)

Objectives of the Review

2. The aim of the review was to examine the effectiveness of the Council's Corporate Complaints Procedure and to propose improvements and enhancements which could be made to the procedure to improve this important aspect of customer service to the Borough's residents. The priority for the review was to look at finding a procedure which produced a faster resolution to complaints for residents.

Reasons for the Review

3. Dealing with complaints is a key part of effective customer service delivery. This Council aims to make it as easy as possible for people to provide feedback and the Council aim to resolve all complaints at the earliest opportunity. This Council's complaints procedure is, therefore, designed to ensure that complaints are dealt with openly, flexibly and in a timely way. The reason for this review was to assess whether the current Corporate Complaints procedure is accessible, user friendly, resolution focused and effective.

A summary of the evidence

- 4. For Members information the evidence which was considered at the three meetings is attached as **Appendix A** to this report. However, a summary of the key evidence which Members may want to focus on is as follows:-
 - the Council's emphasis is to resolve issues and concerns quickly usually through discussion and avert the need to escalate these to a formal complaint;
 - volumes of formal complaints are low in comparison to the services the Council provides. For example the total number of Stage 1 housing complaints for 2013/14 was 175 but the repairs service alone carries out 400 housing repairs each week;
 - overall there has been a small increase in Stage 1 complaints when comparing 2012/13 of 484 with 2013/14 of 516. However, there have been significant increase in complaints in the Housing and Council Tax services as a result of changes in the Housing Allocation Policy, increase in housing repairs as a result of the poor weather, the introduction of the Council Tax Reduction Scheme and national welfare changes;
 - there has been a significant increase in the volume of both Stage 2 and Stage 3 complaints comparing 2012/13 with 2013/14 (Stage 2 from 41 to 99, Stage 3 from 14 to 50);
 - There was evidence to suggest that some complaints unnecessarily filtered through the three stage process when it was clear that the complaint related to a Council policy which a complaint could not overturn. The LGO Inspector advised that the complainant should be advised that their complaint could be submitted direct to the LGO, provided it had been through at least one stage of the Council's Complaints procedure;
 - There were training needs in some areas to enable officers to handle complaints and to use intervention, if possible, to resolve complaints at the earliest stage.
- 5. The evidence given by the Local Government Ombudsman officer was that they received 93 enquiries for Hillingdon for 2013/14 which is roughly 40% less than the average for London of 151. Whilst the Council is doing better than most other London Boroughs to resolve issues and stop them escalating to the Ombudsman, his personal view was that a 2 Stage complaint process was preferable.

The Corporate Complaints Procedure - What the Council has now

- 6. The Council's current Corporate Complaints Procedure covers the following Council service areas:- Housing, Housing Benefit, Council Tax, Education Services, Resident Services (Planning, Environment, Anti Social Behaviour, etc) and Administration and Finance. The review did not look at the complaint procedures that were set by statute such as the Children and Adults Service complaints procedure.
- 7. The present complaint procedure comprises of the following stages:-
 - Informal Complaints (Service requests) Officers try to resolve enquiries/concerns as quickly as possible through discussion.

- **Stage 1** The Head of Service or Deputy Director will investigate and aim to respond to complaints within 10 working days.
- **Stage 2** The Director will investigate and aim to respond to complaints within 10 working days.
- Stage 3 The Chief Executive will review the previous decisions and decide if they were fair and reasonable and aim to respond within 15 working days.
- 8. If a complainant remains dissatisfied they can then escalate their complaint to the Housing Ombudsman for housing complaints and everything else to the Local Government Ombudsman.

A Two Stage Complaints Process

- 9. During the review, the Committee received information on the option of a Two Stage Complaints procedure. Members were informed that a number of local authorities operated a two stage procedure. These included Warwickshire County Council, Derbyshire County Council, Liverpool City Council, the London Boroughs of Redbridge, Croydon, Richmond, Haringey, Hackney, Islington and Brent.
- 10. A typical example is at Brent where officers try and resolve the complaint quickly or if it is clear that the matter needs to be formally investigated, the complaint will be referred to the relevant department for a Local Resolution investigation (LR).
 - Stage 1 Local Resolution (LR) Investigation of the complaint by the department concerned
- 11. The relevant Head of Service will have responsibility for ensuring the investigation complies with standards. The response is signed by the Head of Services or Assistant Director / Director who will inform the complainant of their right to request a final review of their complaint if they remain dissatisfied. The Head of Service may refuse to investigate a complaint if they consider that the complainant has not provided a sufficient basis for undertaking an investigation.
 - Stage 2 Final Review further investigation by the Chief Executive
- 12. Complainants who remain dissatisfied after their complaint has been considered at the LR stage can request that a final review of their complaint be carried out. Final reviews are conducted independently from the department by the Corporate Complaints Manager on behalf of the Chief Executive.
- 13. The Corporate Complaints Manager may refuse to undertake a review if they consider that the complainant has not provided a sufficient basis for undertaking a further investigation. Where the decision is taken not to undertake a final review, the complainant is told that they can ask for their complaint to be considered by the Local Government Ombudsman. This model mirrors other Stage 2 processes.

14. To help Members, officers have provided a summary of possible options for discussion.

Options

- 1. Retain current 3 stage Corporate Complaints procedure as is.
- 2. Retain current 3 stage Corporate Complaints procedure with modifications.
- 3. Remove one of the complaint stages and introduce a 2 stage complaint procedure.

Option 1 - Retain current 3 stage Corporate Complaints procedure as is

Advantages

Statistics show that each stage of the complaint process does what it is designed to do i.e. reduce the number of complaints escalating up the stages and onto the Ombudsman i.e. 2013/14 figures show 516 Stage 1 complaints, of these 99 escalated to Stage 2, and of these 50 escalated to Stage 3.

- Tried and tested (safe) process that generally works well and historically is used by many Local Authorities. Little risk of reputational damage.
- Important for Assistant/Director's to be aware of what is happening in their service area and gives them an opportunity to intervene, take a fresh look at the concerns and see if they can resolve the complaint - 50% of complaints registered during 2013/14 were resolved at Stage 2.

Disadvantages

- Does not represent VFM the evidence indicates that the decision remains largely unchanged for those complaints that escalate from Stage 1 to 2 and from Stages 1, 2 and 3.
- For each stage, there is a cost implication i.e. complainant time in submitting a complaint and staff time in investigating and responding to the complaint.
- More hoops for people to overcome as it requires complainants to go through all three stages before escalation to the Ombudsman - some will not pursue their complaint through fatigue.
- Not flexible as some customers will want to escalate their complaint as quickly as possible to the Ombudsman on the basis that they do not believe an internal complaint service to be independent.

Option 2 - Retain current 3 stage Corporate Complaints procedure with modifications

Advantages

- The process can be freshened up/improved to include verbal or e-mail contact with complainants as part of the Stage 1 complaint investigation - focusing on what it is that is needed to resolve the complaint to the complainant's satisfaction.
- Gives managers/Assistant Directors/Heads of Service the flexibility to fast track a complaint to the Ombudsman direct from Stage 1 rather than forcing a complainant to go through Stages 2 and 3. This particularly applies to complaints relating to policy decisions where statutory а appeal process already exists. This approach will significantly reduce the volume of housing, housing benefit and council tax complaints from escalating to stages 2 and 3.
- More customer focused as it empowers complaint teams to manage the process and ensure that the complaint is taken forward in a way that suits the customer and the Local Authority.
- Little risk of reputational damage because if the Ombudsman does not agree with an early referral they can direct that we investigate at Stages 2 and/or 3.

Disadvantages

- There is risk of criticism from the Ombudsman if they feel that an early referral was inappropriate.
- More work for the complaint teams in managing the process and the need for managers to take a proactive approach.
- For those complaints that do escalate through all three stages, the staff time involved in investigating and responding is more costly than a two stage process.
- The complaint process may not be clear to complainants if we have a flexible complaint process i.e. when complaints will be fast tracked (early referral) to the Ombudsman.

Option 3 - Remove one of the complaint stages and introduce a 2 stage complaint procedure.

Advantages

- Simpler and easier procedure for customers/residents to understand and use with fewer hoops for a complainant to navigate. Will reduce "complainant fatigue"
- By removing a stage it saves staff time (and cost) in investigating and responding to complaints, particularly as the evidence suggests that the Stage 2 response is often a repetition of the Stage 1 response.
- Focuses manager's minds on the need to resolve complaints rather than escalating up the chain.
- A longer timescale for Stage 1 will enable officers to speak to the complainant in an attempt to resolve issues
- A two stage complaint process can be quicker but it depends on the timescale set for each stage. For example 1) London Borough of Barnet have moved to a 2 Stage complaint process but set the time target for Stage 1 at 20 working days and Stage 2 at 30 working days. 2) London Borough of Hackney has not set any timescale for Stage 1 as this will be assessed and Stage 2 at 20 working days. Our current 3 stage complaint process is 35 working days in total. This issue will need to be carefully considered.
- By moving to a 2 Stage complaint process this will result in the standardisation of our processes, literature, systems and communications.

Disadvantages

- Uncertainty about the potential increase in volumes of complaints escalating to Stage 2 and whether this is manageable, the impact on those staff (currently Democratic Services) who undertake this role and our ability to respond on time.
- Potential for reputational damage if the process does not work or if significantly more complaints escalate to the Ombudsman.
- Additional workload for managers/complaints team at Stage 1 to resolve complaints and stop it escalating to Stage 2.
- Initially, additional work for the complaint teams in standardising internal processes/systems and documentation sent externally.
- Any change in procedure will require wider consultation as part of the Council's Equality Impact Assessment - to seek views on the impact or likely impact of any changes we propose particularly if we decide to give ourselves more time to conclude a complaint.

Evidence from 16 July 2014 meeting

Members were informed that there were four complaints procedures which operated within this Council.

- Complaints made by children or on their behalf were governed by the Children Act 1989, Representations Procedure (England) Regulations 2006 (Statutory Instrument 2006 No. 1738).
- Adults' services complaints were managed in line with the 'The Local Authority Social Services and National Health Service Complaints (England) Regulations 2009'.
- All other complaints were dealt with under the Corporate Complaints Procedure.
- All complaints received by the Council regarding its public health functions were handled in accordance with The NHS Bodies and Local Authorities (Partnership Arrangement Care Trusts, Public Health and Local Healthwatch) Regulations 2012.

The Committee was informed that the first three complaint procedures were set by statute and the Council was required to follow legislation with little scope for doing otherwise.

The Corporate Complaints procedure which was the subject of the review was different because it was agreed locally. Members were informed that this procedure currently operated under the following stages:

• Informal Complaints (Service requests)

At this stage, officers tried to resolve enquiries and concerns as quickly as possible by discussing the problem with the complainant.

• Stage 1 – Response from the Head of Service or Deputy Director

Officers acknowledged Stage 1 complaints within 3 working days of receipt of the complaint and the deadline was for complainants to receive a full response within 10 working days.

• Stage 2 – Response from the relevant Director

If a complainant was dissatisfied with the response, he/she could ask for their complaint to be reviewed at Stage 2 stating the reason for their dissatisfaction with the response.

Officers acknowledged Stage 2 complaints within 3 working days of receipt of the complaint and the deadline was for complainants to receive a full response within 10 working days.

• Stage 3 – response from the Chief Executive of the Council

If a complainant was dissatisfied with the response, he/she could ask for their complaint to be reviewed at Stage 3 by the Chief Executive. The complainant had to state the reason why they were dissatisfied with the response given at Stage 2.

Part I – Members, Public and Press
Corporate Services & Partnerships Policy Overview Committee - 11 December 2014
Page 13

The Chief Executive acknowledged Stage 3 complaints within 3 working days of receipt of the complaint and the deadline was for complainants to receive a full response within 15 working days.

• Stage 4 - Designated Person for the Council (for housing complaints only)

If the complaint was about a tenancy, leasehold or other housing management issue, this could be referred to a 'Designated Person'. If a complaint was still not resolved, the complainant was advised to take their complaint to the Housing Ombudsman for Housing complaints with all other complaints being directed to the Local Government Ombudsman (LGO).

Members were informed that the Council service areas which were covered by the Corporate Complaints Procedure were:-

Housing, Housing Benefit, Council Tax, Education Services, Resident Services (Planning, Environment, Anti social behaviour, etc), Administration and Finance.

The Head of Performance and Improvement provided Members with a number of relevant statistics and the stand out ones were that there had been a 42% increase in housing stage 1 complaints and a large increase in Finance complaints. Members were informed that these complaints had increased because of the recent changes in the Council's Housing Allocation Policy and the recent Welfare changes.

The Committee was also informed that the LGO received 93 enquiries / complaints about Hillingdon last year which had been less than the average for London (151 enquiries / complaints on average - nearly 40% less in Hillingdon than average).

Members were informed that the LGO received a similar numbers of enquiries / complaints about adult social care and benefits/tax about Hillingdon to other London Boroughs, but much fewer than the London average for housing, transport and education / children's services.

In terms of the outcomes from complaints, 55% of those referred back to this Council for resolution were upheld - which was the average for all London Boroughs.

The Committee was encouraged to hear that the statistics indicated that the Council was doing more than many other London Boroughs to resolve issues at an earlier stage. This was evidenced by the LGO receiving fewer enquiries about this Council than others.

Discussion took place on particular aspects of the Complaints Procedure and Members asked that the review examine the following:-

- How does the Council learn from complaints?
- How does the Council use complaints from residents to implement improvements on service delivery?
- Any trends in complaints which may indicate a problem in a service
- What intervention takes place to mitigate against complaints being escalated?
- To review the literature and information contained on the Council's public website
 Part I Members, Public and Press

Corporate Services & Partnerships Policy Overview Committee - 11 December 2014

- To examine the compensation policy relating to complaints
- What training is given to Council managers on dealing with complaints?
- Best practice from other local authorities which could be applied to this Council's Complaints Procedure

Evidence from 16 September 2014 meeting

For this meeting Members were provided with copies of Annual Complaints reports for Children & Young People's Service and Housing Services and Adults' Services for 2013/14.

In addition, the Committee was provided with a breakdown of the last two years of complaints statistics to enable Members to examine if there were any trends which had developed.

The main issues raised were:-

For 2013/14 there had been a significant increase in the volume of both Stage 2 and Stage 3 complaints compared to the previous year (Stage 2 from 41 to 99, Stage 3 from 14 to 50).

Members were informed that the increase in complaints had been mainly from within the Housing and Council Tax services. The reasons for this sharp increase had been because of the changes in the Housing Allocation Policy, increases in housing repairs as a result of the poor weather and in relation to Council Tax complaints, the introduction of the Council Tax Reduction Scheme.

Reference was made to the large increase in the number of service requests within the Housing service and the need for officers to actively resolve some of the minor issues which had been registered as complaints. It was acknowledged that improvements were required to improve the complaint handling process.

Members were informed that some of the improvements which had been and would be introduced included:

- The sending of prompt reminders from the Complaints Team to ensure that complaints were responded to on time
- Providing monthly workshops for managers and relevant staff on tips on how to handle complaints and on the resolution of complaints at an early stage
- Better use of the intranet which would provide advice and guidance to officers on handling customer dissatisfaction and complaint procedures

Reference was made to the types of complaints which the Council received and the need to differentiate between simple service requests (example - the collection of refuse which had been failed to be collected) and more complex issues. This would be emphasised at training workshops to ensure all Council staff provided a consistent service to residents.

In relation to complaints about housing services, it was acknowledged that the number of complaints received was small when the number of residents who used the service was

taken into consideration. For example on complaints regarding housing repairs, Members were informed that there were around 400 housing repairs carried out each work which put into perspective the number of complaints received.

Reference was made to complaints in relation to Council policy. An example would be whereby a resident had clearly not complied with the Council's Housing Allocation Policy and yet a complaint in this area would still proceed through the three stage complaints process.

The Committee was also reminded that the LGO had received 93 enquiries / complaints about Hillingdon last year which had been less than the average for London (151 enquiries / complaints on average - nearly 40% less in Hillingdon than average). In addition, Members were informed that the LGO received a similar numbers of enquiries / complaints about adult social care and benefits/tax about Hillingdon to other London Boroughs, but much fewer than the London average for housing, transport and education / children's services.

For the next stage of the review Members asked that the following areas be covered:

- The opinion of the Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) on what constitutes a good complaints procedure
- Comparisons with other local authorities complaints procedures
- Moving to a two stage process and the implications of this in relation to the number of complaints referred to the LGO
- Complaints in relation to Council policy, whereby the complaint did not dispute a decision as they had failed to meet policy, and whether these constituted complaints
- To review the Compensation which was paid out for complaints where the Council had admitted a failure in its service provided
- To look at the working day targets for each stage of the complaints procedure and to assess their appropriateness
- To assess, if possible, the costs to the Council in dealing with complaints i.e. the time spent by officers in all three stages during the process
- How well were the Council's policies such as the Housing Allocation Policy communicated in literature produced by the Council?

Evidence from 29 October 2014 meeting

For this meeting, the Committee was provided with evidence from Richard Shaw, an Investigator for the Local Government Ombudsman Office.

The Committee was provided with a summary of the key components of a good complaints procedure:-

- To enable residents to make officers and the Council accountable
- Where complaints have been justified, to enable Councils to address poor working practice and to improve services
- To have a clear and transparent process which enables a quick resolution to residents' complaints
- Dealing quickly with a complaint for the benefit of a complainant
- The less stages of a complaints process would eliminate repetitiveness and bring about a guicker resolution
- Service requests and appeals should be dealt with separately and outside the complaints process
- The focus should be on resolving complaints at the earliest opportunity
- There should be consideration given to the costs of dealing with complaints
- The key focus should be on customer care and customer satisfaction for residents
- A complaints process needs to be understood and publicised and accessible for residents
- There should be a common procedure to cover contractors
- Managers should be made responsible for dealing with complaints and should be empowered to proactively resolve complaints
- Managers should be given discretionary powers to remedy failures and to make apologies to complainants where necessary
- Officers should be given discretionary powers to offer compensation to remedy a failure
- Failures which have been highlighted by a complaint provided an opportunity to make improvements to services
- Regarding Council policy caveats should be contained in complaints procedures
 which clearly stated that a complaint about Council policy which had been correctly
 applied should not be taken through the complaints procedure. Complaints could
 be advised to submit their complaint direct to the Local Government Ombudsman
- Directors should have sight and knowledge of complaints

Discussion took place on aspects of the evidence provided and reference was made to the various complaints which the Council received in relation to the Housing Allocation Policy and Housing Benefit and Council Tax decisions. Members were informed that complaints should still be considered regarding the application of policies, but not the actual policy itself. The importance was stressed of officers ensuring they correctly applied policies.

Part I – Members, Public and Press

APPENDIX A

Members were informed that these complaints did escalate through the present complaints procedure, but if changes were made to the complaints procedure, these types of complaints could be resolved at Stage 1 or be referred direct to the Ombudsman.

The LGO Investigator confirmed that complaints had to have gone through at least one stage of a local authority complaints procedure before being considered by the LGO.

The communication of Council policies was important and these should be clearly pointed out to residents. Relevant policies and the rules relating to Housing Benefit and Council Tax should be explained to complainants to ensure they understand the reasoning behind the decisions taken which have resulted in the complaint.

As previously mentioned at an earlier witness session, the Committee was informed that extra training would be provided for officers to enable a greater focus on resolution of complaints. A move to a two stage complaints procedure, with a longer timeline for Stage 1, would enable intervention work to take place and give officers an opportunity to try to resolve the complaint to the satisfaction of the resident.

Members were informed that there were cost implications for dealing with complaints in terms of officer time in dealing with complaints through the 3 stage process. An early intervention and attempts to resolve complaints would be beneficial for the Council and for the complainant.

Reference was made to a number of local authorities which had moved to two stage complaint procedure which consisted of flexible first stages whereby contact was made with the complainant with the focus on resolving the issue which had been raised.

SECOND MAJOR REVIEW - CORPORATE FRAUD - SOCIAL HOUSING

Contact Officers: Khalid Ahmed

Telephone: 01895 250833

REASON FOR ITEM

To enable the Committee to agree a topic to be developed into a draft scoping report to be considered at the next meeting of the Committee.

OPTIONS OPEN TO THE COMMITTEE

1. The Committee is asked to agree to undertake a review relating to Social Housing Fraud and to ask officers to develop a scoping report for Members to review at their next meeting. Officers will be able to produce a scoping report that addresses Members' main concerns and which puts forward an effective plan for the review.

BACKGROUND

- 1. At the meeting of this Committee held on 26 June 2014, discussion took place on potential review topics for the Municipal Year. One suggestion made was around the area of Enforcement and Anti-Fraud activities which was within the Committee's remit. Reference was made to a possible review around Public Sector Fraud.
- 2. This was topical with the Audit Commission reporting that Public Sector Fraud had increased by 20% in the last two years. Areas included the sub-letting of Council homes, abuse of the 'Single Person' Council Tax Discount, the underperformance of contractors etc.
- 3. For Members information the Corporate Fraud Investigation Manager has put together background information relating to **Corporate Fraud around Social Housing.**
- 4. Social Housing fraud relates to abuse of the allocation and occupancy of a Council owned property. Offenders may either be sub-letting their property, living elsewhere or claiming to be homeless when they are not. Therefore the tenure or homelessness application is fraudulent and the registered tenant or applicant does not have a genuine housing need.
- 5. The Audit Commission, in their report 'Protecting the Public Purse 2014' estimated that nationally it costs Councils on average £18,000 a year for each family placed in temporary accommodation.
- 6. In October 2013 the Government passed legislation to criminalise sub-letting fraud. On conviction, tenancy fraudsters face up to two years in prison or a fine. Hillingdon will use these powers to prosecute suitable cases. Blow the whistle on Housing Cheats posters appear in every issue of Hillingdon People, this helps to generate calls to our fraud hotline, and all referrals are fully investigated.

Progress to date

- 7. The Social Housing Fraud Project commenced in October 2010 and was initially targeted at registered Council tenants who were either sub-letting the property or were not resident and properties were empty. Since the commencement of the project 168 properties have been recovered and re-let to people with genuine housing need.
- 9. From April to November 2014 applying the Audit Commission 'Protecting the Public Purse' guidelines equates to a saving of £684,000 in Hillingdon through the detection of housing tenancy fraud and the recovery of 38 properties.
- 10. Officers are currently working with a credit reference agency (Experian) to data match all Hillingdon's housing tenancy records with credit reference data. These matches will identify if tenants are linked to another address other than their council property, if they have a mortgage for a different address or if there are people other than the registered tenants living at the property. Results from this exercise should take effect from April 2015.
- 11. Also from August 2014 Corporate Fraud Officers have been working with Housing Needs reception staff to carry out enhanced checks and verification of people's homeless status. This work has ensured resources are only allocated to residents with a genuine housing need.
- 12. Currently 3 cases are being reviewed for possible prosecution. The first case relates to false address details claiming 10 year residency. The second case concerns a housing applicant presenting a false earnings document to attempt to represent economic independence, which is one of the criteria to be eligible for housing. The third case relates to a person in temporary accommodation signing the bed and breakfast register on a daily basis to confirm their residency when they were not actually occupying the accommodation.
- 13. Examples of combating social housing fraud are publicised in Hillingdon People. These articles often describe the improved quality of life for Hillingdon residents who have been allocated the tenancy of a recovered property. This generates positive feedback from residents and encourages reporting of suspected social housing fraud. To increase awareness of social housing fraud with residents the Corporate Fraud Investigation Team will be promoting their work at residents meetings from January 2015.
- 14. Subject to Members approval of this topic as a review, the Corporate Fraud Investigation Manager will provide the Committee with a presentation on the topic at the next meeting of the Committee.

Agenda Item 7

Cabinet Forward Plan

Contact Officer: Khalid Ahmed Telephone: 01895 250833

REASON FOR ITEM

The Committee is required to consider the Forward Plan and provide Cabinet with any comments it wishes to make before the decision is taken.

OPTIONS OPEN TO THE COMMITTEE

- 1. Decide to comment on any items coming before Cabinet
- 2. Decide not to comment on any items coming before Cabinet

INFORMATION

- 1. The Forward Plan is updated on the 15th of each month. An edited version to include only items relevant to the Committee's remit is attached below. The full version can be found on the front page of the 'Members' Desk' under 'Useful Links'.
- 2. The Forward Plan attached has not been updated since the last meeting of the Committee held on 26 June. However, an updated version of the Forward Plan published on 15 July will be distributed on the evening of the POC meeting.

SUGGESTED COMMITTEE ACTIVITY

1. Members decide whether to examine any of the reports listed on the Forward Plan at a future meeting.

This page is intentionally left blank

Private Snoisioeb		Private (3)	Private (3)	
NEW ITEM				
noitatiusnoO noft no noisioeb		l Consultees consultees	Service areas / Corporate Procurement	Public consultation through the Policy Overview Committee process and statutory consultation with businesses & ratepayers
Officer Contact for further information	nance	RS - Michael Corporate Breen / consultees Philip Whitaker	FD - Victoria Coady	FD - Paul Whaymand
Cabinet Member(s) Responsible	nistration FD= Finance	CIIr Jonathan Bianco	CIIr Douglas Mills	Cllr Ray Puddifoot MBE & Cllr Jonathan Bianco
Final decision by Full Council	ices AD = Administration			19 2015 (reserve date 26 February 2015)
Ward(s)	CS= Adult Social Care Services	All	ΙΙ	All
Further information	CYPS =Children and Young People's Services AS Secomber 2014	Cabinet approval is sought for a contractor to provide a comprehensive, efficient, customer focused and cost effective catering and vending service to the Civic Centre and 14 other sites across Hillingdon's nonhousing property portfolio supporting residents, e.g. Early Years Centres and residential homes. The intention is for a contact up to three years with options to extend subject to performance.	Cabinet will be asked to consider creating a Framework Agreement for the Provision of the Supply and Installation of CCTV Equipment to The London Borough of Hillingdon, for the term of 3 years with the option of a 1 year extension, subject to performance.	This report will set out the Medium Term Financial Forecast (MTFF), which includes the draft General Fund reserve budget and capital programme for 2015/16 for consultation, along with indicative projections for the following three years. This will also include the HRA rents for consideration.
ef Decision	Cabinet meeting - 18 [Cabinet Services]	Services	Schools on the Supply and Bubbly and Installation of CCTV and Equipment	D38a The Council's Budget - Medium Term Financial Forecast 2015/16 - 2018/19 BUDGET & POLICY FRAMEWORK
Ref	§ Ŭ	018	S Page 23	03

Snoizioab		
NEW ITEM		
Consultation on the decision		Corporate Services & Partnerships POC
Officer Contact for further information	nance	AD - Nigel Cramb
Cabinet Member(s) Responsible	AD = Administration FD= Finance	Councillor Douglas Mills
Final decision by Full Council		
Ward(s)	cial Care Servic	All
Further information	Council Departments: RS = Residents Services CYPS = Children and Young People's Services ASCS = Adult Social Care Services	Financial Support to The report to Cabinet will make recommendations on Voluntary The level of financial support to voluntary organisations for the 2015/16 financial year.
Ref Decision	Departments: RS = Residents S	Financial Support to Voluntary Organisations
Ref	Counci	36

쑴
gov.
Ĕ
gd
c@hillingdc
ration
100
den
ai:
em
0
0470 0
250470 o
895 250470 or email: democratic@hillingd
₩
₩
₩
₩
₩
₩
nocratic Services - Tel: 01895 250470 o
₩

Ref	Ref Decision	Further information	Ward(s)	Final decision by Full Council	Cabinet Member(s) Responsible	Officer Contact for further information	Consultation on the decision	NEW ITEM Private decision?
Council	Departments: RS = Residents \$	Council Departments: RS = Residents Services CYPS =Children and Young People's Services ASCS= Adult Social Care Services	cial Care Service	es AD = Administration	nistration FD= Finance	ance		
Cab	vinet meeting -	Cabinet meeting - 22 January 2015						
49	Town Centre	Cabinet will receive an update on the Borough's	Various		Cllr Douglas RS - Jales	RS - Jales	Varions	
	Improvement	popular and successful Town Centre Improvement			Mills	Tippell /	stakeholders	
	Schemes and	Schemes along with associated improvements from				Helena		
	Crossrail update	the Crossrail project, nearing completion across the Hayes/West Drayton corridor.				Webster		

Private Snoisioab		Private (3)
NEW ITEM		Def
noitation on the noisiosb	Public consultation through the Policy Overview Committee process and statutory consultation with businesses & ratepayers	Corporate
Officer Contact for further information	FD - Paul Whaymand	AD - Mike Talbot
amenodeavi	Cllr Ray FD Puddifoot Wh MBE & Cllr Jonathan Bianco	Cllr Ray Puddifoot MBE & Cllr Scott Seaman- Digby
Final decision	19 CIIr Ra February Puddif 2015 MBE 8 (reserve Jonath date 26 Bianco February 2015)	
Ward(s)	the All 19 ancial Fe All Fe Fe For Fe	N/A
Further information	Cabinet meeting - 12 February 2015 38b The Council's Budget - Medium Term Financial Forecast 2015/16 - 2015/16 - 2015/16 projections for the following three years. This will also include the HRA rents for consideration. Subject to Cabinet's decision, the budget will then be referred to Full Council for approval.	In April 2014, Cabinet approved the 'phase 1' implementation of Oracle HR and Payroll, which enabled integration with the Oracle Financials system. In this original report it was noted that a second paper would be presented to outlying the proposed 'phase 2' implementation of a Performance and Learning Management system. This paper will outline recommendations following the project scoping for the 'phase 2' of the HR & Payroll system project.
Decision	Cabinet meeting - 038b The Council's Budget - Medium Term Financial Forecast 2015/16 - 2018/19 BUDGET & POLICY FRAMEWORK	Oracle HR & Payroll 'Phase 2' Planning
Ref	038k	age 26 5

Private decision?		NEW Private (3)
меті мәи	NEW	NEW
Consultation on the decision		Corporate Procurement
Offlicer Contact for further information	RS/AD - Jales Tippell / James Rodger / Mark Braddock	FD - Victoria Corporate Coady Procureme
Cabinet Member(s) Responsible	= Administration FD= Finance CIIr Douglas RS/AD - Mills Jales Tip / James Rodger / Mark Braddock	Cllr Jonathan Bianco
Final decision by Full Council	Q	
Ward(s)	Ocial Care Serv	All
Further information	Cabinet meeting - 19 March 2015 Opdate on the Borough's Borough's Borough's Borough's Borough's Borough's Borough's Commercial, cultural and leisure initiatives Ward Budgets, Sports Fund.	Single software Cabinet approval will be sought to appoint a provider solution to automate for the Single software solution to automate the the Accounts Payable process in Oracle E-Business Suite. Suite
Decision	il Departments: RS = Residents Spinet meeting - Update on the Borough's environmental, commercial, cultural and leisure initiatives	
Ref	Cab 057	75 Page 27

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 8

WORK PROGRAMME 2014/15

Contact Officer: Khalid Ahmed Telephone: 01895 250833

REASON FOR ITEM

This report is to enable the Committee to review meeting dates and forward plans. This is a standard item at the end of the agenda.

OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO THE COMMITTEE

- 1. To confirm dates for meetings
- 2. To make suggestions for future working practices and/or reviews.

INFORMATION

All meetings to start at 7.30pm

Meetings	Room
26 June 2014	CR 6
16 July 2014	CR 5
16 September 2014	CR 4
29 October 2014	CR 4
11 December 2014	CR 4
8 January 2015	CR 5
3 February 2015	CR 5
12 March 2015	CR 6
28 April 2015	CR 5

Corporate Services & Partnerships Policy Overview Committee

2014/15 DRAFT Work Programme

Meeting Date	Item
26 June 2014	Corporate Services & Partnerships Policy Overview Committee Possible Review Topics 2014/15
	Work programme for 2014/15
	Cabinet Forward Plan
16 July 2014	Budget Planning Report for Administration and
16 July 2014	Finance Directorates
	Scoping Report for next Major Review and presentation
	Work Programme
	Cabinet Forward Plan
16 September 2014	Major Review - Witness Session
	Cabinet Forward Plan
	Work Programme
29 October 2014	Major Review - Witness Session and consideration of draft recommendations
	Single Meeting Review - Members Enquiries
	Cabinet Forward Plan
	Work Programme
44 December 2044	
11 December 2014	Major Review -Consideration of recommendation
	Second Review Topic - To agree
	Cabinet Forward Plan
	Work Programme
8 January 2015	Budget Proposals Report for 2015/16
-	Second Review - Scoping Report and Witness Session

Corporate Services & Partnerships POC 11 December 2014 PART I – MEMBERS, PUBLIC & PRESS

	Cabinet Forward Plan
	Work Programme
3 February 2015	Witness Session for Second Review
	Single Meeting Review
	Cabinet Forward Plan
	Work Programme
12 March 2015	Witness Session for Second Review
	Cabinet Forward Plan
	Work Programme
	•
29 April 2014	Cabinet Forward Plan
	Work Programme

This page is intentionally left blank